IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

RODERICK PRESIDENT,)
ID # 384264,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 3:08-CV-1155-L (BH)
) ECF
FOSSIL, INC.,) Referred to U.S. Magistrate Judge
Defendant.)

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and an Order of the Court in implementation thereof, subject cause has previously been referred to the United States Magistrate Judge. The findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge are as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

On July 8, 2008, the Court received the instant civil action and a request to proceed *in forma pauperis* from plaintiff, an inmate in Louisiana. The Court found that the request to proceed *in forma pauperis* should be denied based on the three-strikes provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (See Order of July 14, 2008, at 1-2.) However, the Court deemed it appropriate to grant plaintiff thirty days to pay the full filing fee of \$350.00 to the Clerk of the Court before formally denying *in forma pauperis*. (*Id.*) The Court also admonished him that failure to pay the filing fee within the time allotted would subject this action "to dismissal as barred by the three-strikes provision and for failure to comply with a court order under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)." (*Id.*) On August 1, 2008, the Court extended the time for payment to August 28, 2008, and reiterated that admonishment. To date, plaintiff has not paid the filing fee.

II. THREE STRIKES

Because plaintiff is a prisoner, this action is subject to review under the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA), 28 U.S.C. § 1915. One of the major changes promulgated by the PLRA is the "three-strikes" provision set forth in § 1915(g). That provision states:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section, if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

In short, inmates may not proceed without the prepayment of fees, if they have previously brought three or more civil actions or appeals in federal court that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless they are in imminent danger of serious physical injury.

A review of relevant court records indicates that plaintiff's current filing falls under the PLRA "three-strikes" provision. On at least three prior occasions, plaintiff has had a prisoner civil action or appeal dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. See President v. Duplichan, No. 2:05-CV-1178-JTT (W.D. La. Aug. 31, 2006) (judgment accepting recommendation of Mag. J. which noted three strikes bar and dismissed action as frivolous); President v. Kaylo, No. 05-31115 (5th Cir. 2006) (dismissing appeal as frivolous); President v. Prentice, No. 1:01-CV-0863-FAL (W.D. La. Aug. 10, 2001) (judgment accepting recommendation of Mag. J. and dismissing action as frivolous); President v. O'Neal, No. 2:99-CV-2317-JTT (W.D. La. Mar. 27, 2000) (same). Due to these "strikes" plaintiff may not proceed with his current action without the prepayment of fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless it appears that he is subject to

imminent danger of serious physical injury. This action has no element of any imminent danger. Consequently, plaintiff must prepay the requisite filing fee before this case can proceed. Because plaintiff has not paid the filing fee for this action, his complaint is subject to summary dismissal pursuant to § 1915(g).

III. RECOMMENDATION

For the foregoing reasons, it is recommended that the Court deny plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* and summarily dismiss his complaint without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

SIGNED this 15th day of September, 2008.

IVMA CARRILLO RAMIREZ
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

The United States District Clerk shall serve a copy of these findings, conclusions, and recommendation on all parties by mailing a copy to each of them. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), any party who desires to object to these findings, conclusions, and recommendation must file and serve written objections within ten days after being served with a copy. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings, conclusions, or recommendation to which objections are being made. The District Court need not consider frivolous, conclusory or general objections. Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation within ten days after being served with a copy shall bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge that are accepted by the District Court, except upon grounds of plain error. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

Irma Carrillo Parrisz IRMA CARRILLO RAMIREZ UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE